

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,149	04/25/2000	Steven J. Yohanan	15-4-296.53	9307
22801	7590 03/12/2003			
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMINER	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		00	SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	<b>;</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	09 557149 10 50 444	_				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit					
	5ax 2174					
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-					
Period for Response	7					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE					
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau	36(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MOI response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to lit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03					
This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935						
Disposition of Claims						
SClaim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
(claim(s) )-12						
(						
	are subject to restriction or election	1				
Application Papers	requirement.					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	e priority documents have been	•				
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413	_ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-	·152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					
Office A	Action Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 09/557149 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment, filed 12/16/02, have been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cardinal et al (5799318) in view of Doyle (5838906).
- 4. Regarding claim 3, see Cardinal et al: the abstract, Figures 4, 5, 6, column 2 lines 37-64, column 3 lines 55-68 (note how an item may be a document or email message), column 5 lines 34-64, column 6 lines 50-68, column 10 lines 34-65 (note especially the launch icon discussions). This describes a graphical interactive system which accesses a desired document or email address. A desktop icon is displayed on a desktop, and when selected launches a browser and accesses the document from its storage address. Cardinal et al do not go into the details that a web site is accessed (which accordingly means the web address is accessed), but do mention email and also mention linking a user object to a variety of computer resources of

Application/Control Number: 09/557149

Art Unit: 2173

information. Furthermore, see Doyle et al: the abstract, Figures 6, 7B (note launching the

browser, note the hypermedia link in the document), column 5 lines 32-38 (explicitly the World

Wide Web is being accessed), column 6 lines 50-68, column 7 lines 1-7 (again, the launching of

the browser, the links), column 9 lines 35-45 (the embedded link) and lines 50-60 (the link

accesses over the Internet), column 13 lines 24-31 (the URL address), column 15 lines 62-68 and

column 16 lines 1-9 (graphics) This shows how a web address and therefore site is accessed via

a link to an object. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have a

website accessed in Cardinal et al, in view of the linking in Doyle et al, because it would provide

a convenient computer resource of information to be linked to an object, in a system that links

resources of information to objects.

4. Regarding claim 4, the browser in Cardinal et al may be a separate application which is

then accessed. Note this in Doyle et al as well that a separate specific browser is loaded up

(column 3 lines 1-10).

Regarding claim 5, note that Cardinal et al mentions documents being retrieved. The fact 5.

that they are retrieved from a network is obvious in view of the Doyle et al ability to access a

website, as described above.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/557149 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

6. Regarding claims 6-12, these show the same features as above. In addition, note that Cardinal et al show the email feature as discussed above.

- Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

  Applicants are reminded of the the response given in the last Action which dealt with the same comments that applicants are presenting here. Applicants mention that the browser of Cardinal et al is not a web browser, but do acknowledge a browser. But this point is taken up in the action (paragraph 4A as noted by applicants) in that Doyle et al bring out the website accessing browser. Cardinal et al do in fact have desktop icons that launch files as noted. Regarding Doyle, whether or not the browser is a separate application is not relevant, as the link is accessed via the launched browser. Furthermore, the motivation is proper in that both systems link resources of information to objects, and the web accessing ability of Doyle would be a very common resource of information to conveniently have.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/557149

Art Unit: 2173

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Page 5

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone

number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are as follows:

(703) 746-7238

After Final Communication

(703) 746-7239

Official Communication

(703) 746-7420

For Status Inquiries, draft communication

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PUNCEN LIXALINER